3.0 ALTERNATIVES

3.1 INTRODUCTION

The Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA stipulate that alternatives are the heart of the environmental impact statement. Regulations at 40 CFR Part 1502.14 require that the Federal decision-maker perform the following tasks:

- "Rigorously explore and objectively evaluate all reasonable alternatives, and for alternatives which were eliminated from detailed study, briefly discuss the reasons for their having been eliminated."
- "Devote substantial treatment to each alternative considered in detail including the proposed action so that reviewers may evaluate their comparative merits."
- "Include reasonable alternatives not within the jurisdiction of the lead agency."
- "Include the alternative of no action."
- "Identify the agency's preferred alternative or alternatives, if one or more exists, in the draft statement and identify such alternative in the final statement unless another law prohibits the expression of such a preference."
- "Include appropriate mitigation measures not already included in the proposed action or alternatives."

The FAA has fully examined a range of alternatives to the Proposed Action, including the alternative of No-Action.

3.2 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Because of the nature of the Proposed Action, the range of alternatives considered was limited to:

- No Action
- The Proposed Action
- Use of Alternative Airports
- · Use of Alternative Aircraft

3.2.1 Use of Alternative Airports

During the Scoping process described in **Appendix I**, comments were received regarding the use of the Eastern Sierra Regional Airport (BIH) in Bishop rather than MMH. BIH is located approximately 40 miles southeast of MMH. The operations specifications amendment that is the subject of this EIS is limited to a request by a single airline (Horizon Air) to provide scheduled commercial air service to a single location (MMH). The FAA exercises its authority to manage the use of the Nation's navigable airspace in a manner that is consistent with all applicable Federal laws. The U.S. government deregulated the airline industry by passing Public Law 95-504 entitled the "Airline Deregulation Act of 1978." As a result of that law, air carriers are free to choose what destinations and airports they serve. The Federal government does not control where, when and how airlines provide their service. It is the individual airlines that make decisions to provide scheduled commercial air service to and from specific airports. Public Use airports such as MMH cannot deny access to an airline if the aircraft they propose to use can safely operate at

that facility. As a result of these statutory limitations, as outlined in Section 2.0, the purpose of the project is to ensure that operations by Horizon Air to MMH can be conducted in a manner that is both safe and in the public interest. Horizon Air has submitted a letter of intent to provide scheduled commercial air service at MMH, and not at BIH. A copy of this letter is included in **Appendix A**. Approval of an Operations Specifications Amendment permitting Horizon Air to fly into BIH would not meet this purpose and need and; therefore, is not a reasonable alternative.

Any airport accepting commercial air carrier operations is required to have an FAA approved airport operating certificate, pursuant to 14 CFR Part 139. The approval of the Town of Mammoth Lakes' Application for Certificate Amendment and modifications to the Town of Mammoth Lakes Mammoth Yosemite Airport Certification Manual are associated administrative actions. Currently, MMH is the only Part 139 approved airport in the region.

As a result of these considerations, the FAA has determined that the use of another airport for the proposed scheduled commercial air service is not a reasonable alternative to the Proposed Action.

3.2.2 Use of Alternative Aircraft

During the Scoping process, comments were received by FAA regarding the possible use of aircraft other than the Q400 Dash 8. These comments have been considered, although as indicated above, the FAA does not have the authority to instruct Horizon Air to provide service to a different airport, nor does it have the discretion to instruct Horizon Air to utilize a different aircraft if the proposed aircraft can safely operate at the proposed airport in compliance with environmental statutes. Additionally, public use airports such as MMH cannot deny access to an aircraft operator if they can safely operate at that facility. Horizon Air has indicated its interest in providing air service to MMH with a Q400 Dash 8 aircraft.

As a result of these considerations, the FAA has determined that the use of different aircraft for the proposed scheduled commercial air service is not a reasonable alternative to the Proposed Action.

3.2.3 Alternatives Considered in Detail

As a result of these considerations, this EIS examines two alternatives in detail:

- The Proposed Action, whereby FAA would approve the Horizon Air Operations Specifications
 Amendment pursuant to 14 CFR Part 119 that would allow Horizon Air to provide scheduled
 commercial air service to MMH with a Bombardier Q400 Dash 8 aircraft if all safety, operational,
 and environmental issues are satisfied; and
- The No-Action Alternative, whereby FAA would not approve the Horizon Air Operations Specifications Amendment pursuant to 14 CFR Part 119 that would allow Horizon Air to provide scheduled commercial air service to MMH with a Bombardier Q400 Dash 8 aircraft.

3.3 COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

Table 3.3-1 provides a summary of potential environmental impacts associated with implementation of the No-Action Alternative and Proposed Action. These findings are discussed in detail in **Section 5.0**, Environmental Consequences, of this EIS.

TABLE 3.3-1 SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

	Level of Impact		
Environmental Impact Categories	No-Action Alternative (2015)	Proposed Action (2015)	Exceeds Significant Impact Threshold ²
Noise			
 Acres of non-compatible land use within the CNEL 65+ dBA area 	0	0	No
Compatible Land Use			
 Acres of noise sensitive land use within the CNEL 65+ dBA area 	0	0	No
Socioeconomic, Environmental Justice, Children's Health			
 Residential or Business Acquisitions or Relocations 	None	None	
Division or Disruption of Established Communities	None	None	
Disruption of Local Traffic Patterns	None	Minor	No
 Disruption of Orderly Planned Development 	None	None	
 Environmental Justice Considerations 	None	None	
 Environmental Health and Safety Risks to Children 	None	None	
Secondary (Induced) Impacts ¹			
 Additional jobs/population 	0/0	1,158 / 1,518	
 Additional occupied housing units 	0	646	No
Additional commercial space	0	336,736 square feet	
Changes in public service demands	None	Minor	
Historic, Architectural, Archaeological and Cultural Resources			No
Number of resources within the APE	0	0	140
DOT Section 4(f)			
Direct Impacts	None	None	No
Indirect Impacts			
Fish, Wildlife, and Plants			No
Number of Federally Protected Species Impacted	0	0	140
Air Quality			
2015 Air Pollutant Emissions (Annual Total - tpy)			
- CO	69.68	92.41	
- VOCs	4.60	5.41	No
- NO _x	1.88	4.34	
- PM ₁₀ / PM _{2.5} ³	0.77	0.93	
- SO ₂	0.29	0.49	
Hazardous Materials	None	None	
Solid Waste			No
tons per day	<0.02	<0.06	

TABLE 3.3-1 (CONTINUED) SUMMARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS

	Level of Impact		
Environmental Impact Categories	No-Action Alternative (2015)	Proposed Action (2015)	Exceeds Significant Impact Threshold ²
Natural Resources Energy	None None	None None	No
Water Quality			
Surface Water	None	None	
Groundwater	None	None	No
Water Supply	None	Minor	
Wastewater	None	1,800 gpd	

 $^{^1}$ - Impacts within Socioeconomic Study Area of Mono and Inyo counties. 2 - Based on FAA Order 1050.1E, Significant Impact Thresholds. 3 - PM $_{2.5}$ is assumed to be the same as PM $_{10}$ for this analysis.

Source: URS Corporation, 2007.

3.4 PREFERRED AND ENVIRONMENTALLY PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES

<u>Preferred Alternative</u>: The Preferred Alternative of the FAA and the sponsor, Horizon Air, is approval of Horizon Air's Operations Specifications Amendment.

<u>Environmentally Preferred Alternative</u>: The Horizon Air EIS identified no significant difference in the potential environmental impacts under either the No-Action Alternative or the Proposed Action Alternative. However, under the No-Action Alternative the projected increases in potential environmental impacts would not occur at the same rate as the Proposed Action. Therefore, the No-Action Alternative is considered the Environmentally Preferred Alternative.

3.5 APPLICABLE FEDERAL LAWS AND REGULATIONS

The following is a list of Federal laws and regulations considered by FAA in the development of the alternatives evaluation and the preparation of this EIS. A more comprehensive list of Federal laws and regulations generally applicable to FAA actions is presented in **Appendix B**.

3.5.1 Federal Laws and Statutes

- Airline Deregulation Act of 1978 (P.L. 95-504)
- Airport Noise and Capacity Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-508, 49 USC App. 2151, et seq. Now recodified as 49 USC 47521, et seq.)
- Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act, as amended (P.L. 89-665, 16 USC 469-469c-2, et seq.)
- Title 49 USC 40101, et seq. Recodified from and formerly known as the "Federal Aviation Act of 1958," as amended (P.L. 85-726)
- Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (P.L. 96-193; 49 USC App. 2101. Recodified at 49 USC 47501)
- Clean Air Act, as amended (P.L. 91-604, 42 USC 7401, et seq.)
- Department of Transportation Act of 1966, section 4(f), recodified at 49 USC 303(c)
- Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (P.L. 93-205, 16 USC 1531, et seg.)
- Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, (P.L. 94-579, 43 USC 1701, et seq.)
- Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended (P.L. 92-500, 33 USC 1251, et seq.)
- Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, as amended (P.L. 98-616, 98 Stat. 3221, et seq.)
- Land and Water Conservation Fund Act, Section 6(f) (P.L. 88-578; 16 USC 4601-8(f)(3))
- National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (P.L. 91-190, 42 USC 4321-4347, et seq.)

- National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, Section 106, as amended (P.L. 89-665, 16 USC 470, et seq.)
- Vision 100 Century of Aviation Reauthorization Act (P.L. 108-176, 49 USC 47171)
- Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, as amended (P.L. 90-542, 16 USC 1271, et seq.)
- Wilderness Act (P.L. 88-577, 16 USC 1131-1136)

3.5.2 Executive Orders

- Executive Order 11514 Protection and Enhancement of Environmental Quality (dated March 5, 1970)
- Executive Order 11593 Protection and Enhancement of the Cultural Environment (dated May 6, 1971)
- Executive Order 12372 Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs (dated July 14, 1982)
- Executive Order 12898 Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations (dated February 11, 1994)

3.5.3 Federal Regulations

- 14 CFR Part 77 Objects Affecting Navigable Airspace
- 14 CFR Part 119 Certification: Air Carriers and Commercial Operators
- 14 CFR Part 139 Certification of Airports
- 14 CFR Part 150 Airport Noise Compatibility Planning
- 36 CFR Part 800 Protection of historic places
- 40 CFR Part 51, Subpart T Transportation conformity
- 40 CFR Part 93, Subpart B Determining conformity of general Federal action to state or Federal implementation plans
- 40 CFR Parts 1500-1508 CEQ implementation of NEPA procedural provisions establishes uniform procedures, terminology, and standards for implementing the procedural requirements of NEPA's section 102(2)
- 49 CFR Part 17 Intergovernmental Review of DOT Programs and Activities
- 49 CFR Part 18 Uniform administrative requirements for grants and cooperative agreements to state and local governments
- 50 CFR Part 17, Subpart B Endangered and threatened wildlife; endangered and threatened plants

3.5.4 FAA Orders and Advisory Circulars

• FAA Order 1050.1E, Change 1: Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures. Effective Date: March 20, 2006.

•	FAA Advisory Circular 150/5300-14B	Design of Aircraft Deicing Facilities (February 5, 2008)
•	FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200-30B	Airport Winter Safety and Operations (September 5, 2006)